

RESOLUTION NO. 85-01
CITY OF CENTERVILLE, OHIO

SPONSORED BY COUNCILMEMBER Douglas C. Cline ON THE
19th DAY OF November, 2001.

A RESOLUTION ENDORSING AN APPLICATION TO THE
MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION FOR
FEDERAL FUNDS FOR THE RESURFACING OF CLYO ROAD
FROM ALEXANDERSVILLE-BELLBROOK ROAD TO
CENTERVILLE STATION ROAD.

WHEREAS, The City of Centerville, committed to maintaining existing thoroughfares in
the City of Centerville, recognizes the need for Clyo Road to be resurfaced from State Route
725/Alexandersville-Bellbrook Road to Centerville Station Road, and

WHEREAS, A Federal Fund Application has been submitted to the Miami Valley
Regional Planning Commission for the resurfacing of the existing asphalt roadway of Clyo Road
including pavement grinding, repair of failed pavement areas, placement of a stress absorbing
membrane interlayer and minor repairs to other roadway items for a total distance of 1.03 miles.

NOW THEREFORE, THE MUNICIPALITY OF CENTERVILLE HEREBY
RESOLVES:

Section 1. That the Council of the City of Centerville endorses an Application to the
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission for federal funds for the resurfacing of Clyo Road
from Alexandersville-Bellbrook Road to Centerville Station Road and commits the necessary
local funds for the project development and the matching construction funds, a copy of said
Application is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein.

Section 2. That this Resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage.

PASSED this 19th day of November, 2001.

Sally D. Beals
Mayor of the City of Centerville, Ohio

ATTEST:
Marilee J. McLaughlin
Clerk of Council, City of Centerville, Ohio

CERTIFICATE

The undersigned, Clerk of the Council of the City of Centerville, Ohio, hereby certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of Resolution Number 85-01, passed by the Council of the City of Centerville, Ohio, on the 19th day of November, 2001.

Maril Jane Saugh
Clerk of Council

Approved as to form, consistency
with the Charter and Constitutional Provisions.

Department of Law
Robert N. Farquhar
Municipal Attorney

Attachment A

FEDERAL FUND APPLICATION

Project Sponsor CITY of Centerville Date 11/13/01

Project Name Clyo Road Resurfacing (MOT-099)

Project Location and Termini SR 725/Alex-Bell Road to Centerville Station Road

Description of Proposed Work Resurface existing asphalt roadway including pavement grinding, repair of failed pavement areas, placement of a stress absorbing membrane interlayer and minor repairs to other roadway items.

Federal Functional Classification Urban Collector (Total length of 1.03 miles)

Current Year Traffic Volumes (ADT) 16,000 (est)

Design Year Traffic Volumes (ADT) 19,000 (est)

PROJECT STATUS:

Has ODOT programming occurred? YES / NO (PID# _____) (Date _____)

Are Construction Plans complete? YES / NO

Additional Comments This section of Clyo Road was milled and resurfaced in 1991 with Fed-Aid Urban Funds. Fed Program # M-1N194(1)

Project No. 770-90

CONSISTENCY WITH MVRPC PLANS:

Is this project on the 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan? (Major New, Congestion Management System or Bikeway/Pedestrian project lists – See MVRPC.org web site for latest list) YES / NO I.D.# _____ If No, is this project consistent with the 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan? YES / NO

Explain: Clyo Road is identified as an urban collector on the LRTP.

Is this project a Transportation System Management (TSM) type project? (Example: intersection Improvement, signalization, bikeway, transit improvement...) YES / NO Type: _____

LOCAL COMMITMENT TO PROJECT:

Is this project on the local transportation plan or other local plan? YES / NO Explain: _____

Considered major thoroughfare within the City's thoroughfare system.

Is this project on the Project Sponsor's Issue 2 capital improvement program? YES / **(NO)**

How will the local share of the project be financed? Income tax revenue

Will assessments be used to pay a portion of the local cost? No To what extent? _____

Additional Comments Please note the City applied a maintenance surface overlay in 2001 in an effort to maintain the road and control additional deterioration.

Is this project a phase, or part of a larger project? YES / **(NO)** (PID# _____) (Date _____)

Describe larger project: _____

If sufficient funds are not available for the entire project, could a portion of the project be built first and the remainder later? YES / **(NO)** Explain: City has chosen termini based on distressed pavement condition and previous pavement maintenance resurfacing.

If this project ends at a corporation line, how will this project be coordinated with the adjacent jurisdiction? YES / NO Name of jurisdiction? entirely in Centerville

Does the adjacent jurisdiction plan to extend the project? YES / NO N/A

Will this project be able to function on its own, or does it depend on another improvement to be functional? stand alone project

ESTIMATED PROJECT COST AND PROPOSED FUNDING FOR EACH PHASE:

	FEDERAL FUNDS	STATE FUNDS	LOCAL FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
Preliminary Engineering*			By City	
Plan Development*			\$20,000	\$20,000
Right-of-Way**				-0-
Construction	\$344,000		\$86,000	430,000
Contingencies	34,400		8,600	43,000
Inspection and Engineering	56,800		14,200	71,000
TOTAL COST	\$435,200		\$128,800	\$564,000

** These phases are not typically funded with MVRPC Regionally Controlled Funds.

* R/W costs should include utility relocations and work agreements.

