

JOINT WORK SESSION OF THE
CENTERVILLE PLANNING COMMISSION
AND THE
CENTERVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW
Tuesday, October 18, 1983

Mr. Tate called the meeting to order at 7:30 P.M.

Attendance: Mr. Elmer C. Tate, Jr.; Mrs. Marian Simmons; Mr. David L. Hall; Mr. Stanley Swartz; Mr. Robert Perkins; Mrs. Barbara Talmage; Mrs. Phyllis Brooks; Mr. Brooks Compton; Mr. Michael Pekarek. Absent: Mr. Brian Bergsten; Mr. Robert Chappell. Also present: Alan C. Schwab, City Planner; Steve Feverston, Planner; Jon Bormet, Administrative Assistant.

Review of Draft Sign Ordinance Dated October 4, 1983

Mr. Schwab made a brief presentation pointing out the concepts behind the new draft sign ordinance.

A detailed discussion of the draft sign ordinance followed with general consensus of the members present on the following points:

Section 5

Definition of Owner - be modified to specifically include tenant

Section 32

- A. Purpose - be checked with Mr. Farquhar for possible revisions
- B. Objectives - be checked with Mr. Farquhar for possible revisions
- D. Exempted Signs
 - 2. Non-Commercial Flags - add number per premises and size restrictions
- F. General Provisions
 - 4. Maintenance of Signs - add more specific language
- J. Signs in the Architectural Preservation District (APD)
 - 6. General Provisions (APD)
 - d. Change to permit only one sign per business or eliminate this paragraph
 - 8. Signs for Business, Other Non-Residential, and Mixed Uses (APD)
 - a. Projecting or Ground Signs (APD)
 - 2) Change to just locate outside the public right-of-way rather than ten (10) foot setback

Some of the general comments included in the discussion of the draft ordinance are as follows:

1. Consider prohibiting plastic internally illuminated signs outside the APD as well as within the APD.
2. Consider vehicle sign provisions.
3. Consider awning sign provisions.
4. BAR wants the amount and number of signs permitted in the APD to be reduced significantly.
5. BAR expressed concern about permitting Staff Approval of new signs that involve more than repainting of existing approved signs.
6. BAR recommended dropping the APD fees of \$5 for Staff Approval and \$20 for BAR approval. This would amount to approximately \$900 per year of fees.

Mr. Tate concluded the meeting by directing City Staff to work with the City Attorney and the City Council to incorporate the concerns expressed at this work session into a revised draft sign ordinance for further consideration.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

11/29/83

Elmer Tate