

BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW
Regular Meeting
Tuesday, February 7, 2012

Mr. Graham called the meeting to order at 7:30 P.M.

ATTENDANCE

Present: Chair Charlie Graham, Mr. Jaime Garrett, Mrs. Amy Korenyi-Both, Ms. Sharma Stone, Mr. Brad Thorp and Mr. Frank Holloway. Also present: Mr. Steve Feverston, City Planner, and Mrs. Julie Weaver, Assistant Clerk of Council.

Absent: Richard Hoback.

EXCUSE ABSENT MEMBERS

Mr. Holloway reminded the group that Dr. Hoback had shared last month that he would be in Florida at the time of this meeting and moved to excuse the absence of Dr. Hoback. Mr. Garrett seconded the motion. The motion passed with six ayes.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

No changes were noted for the minutes of the regular meeting on January 3, 2012 or the work session on the same date.

MOTION: Mrs. Korenyi-Both moved for the approval of the minutes of both the Board of Architectural Review Meeting and the Work Session Meeting on January 3, 2012, as distributed. Ms. Stone seconded the motion. The motion was approved unanimously, 6-0.

NEW BUSINESS

Application 2012-0147 – Replacement Doors for 31-33 W. Franklin.

Mr. Feverston gave the background for the application by Mr. David Mulay to replace the doors on the property at 31-33 West Franklin Street with doors being 40-50% windows. Mr. Feverston showed photos of the property and others in the area. The replacement doors would be pine with a significant percentage of glass. The photos revealed that other stores in the downtown area had doors with similar windows. Staff recommended approval of the application subject to the one condition that the sign violations on the property be remedied.

After Mr. Holloway agreed that the doors were very similar to others in the district, no objections were raised by other members. A discussion of the address numbers that had been on the old doors followed. It was the recommendation of the board that the numbers be affixed to the center of the larger lower panels on the doors, if the numbers were going to be put back on the doors. This is only a recommendation; the numbers could also be placed near the mailboxes.

MOTION: Mr. Garrett made a motion to approve replacement doors with windows subject to the staff condition concerning the remediation of the sign violations and with the recommendation that the numbers be placed on the center panels of the doors. Mrs. Korenyi-Both seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with six ayes.

COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Feverston updated the Board on several items. An application for a major site plan for approval by the BAR is expected from Dewey's Pizza in the next few months. Mr. Feverston noted that Benham's Grove is celebrating its twentieth anniversary and that special events are being planned. A couple of temporary signs are being displayed.

Mr. Feverston summarized discussions on the preservation awards from the past work session. He noted that staff would nominate properties for the award; the BAR would evaluate the nominees and select a winner. Charlie Graham, as Chair, or his delegate would attend the June Council meeting so that the Board and the Mayor could present the award to the winner. Mr. Feverston passed a handout with evaluation criteria and a brainstorm sheet that listed the kinds of things that the Board of Architectural Review could review in determining a winner. The consensus was that the consideration of projects finished within the past two years would be a good length of time for the initial awards related to construction. The foremost consideration would be whether any addition to the property was sensitive to the sense of the property within its historical period.

The BAR asked for as much coverage of the awards as possible in order to educate the community concerning the importance of preservation efforts. In addition to the presentation of the award at a Centerville Council meeting, the BAR requested interviews by Maureen Russell-Hodgson on her Centerville talk show, news releases to the *Dayton Daily News* and *Centerville-Washington Township Times*, and recognition in the *Town Crier*.

The Board did not feel it wanted a 1-2 page summary from the nominees, but the members would like to look at the interior of the building, whenever possible. The interior would be one consideration on the evaluation checklist and would simply be a extra plus or minus for a given property.

There was a consensus of the Board to move forward with the details for the evaluation sheet at the next meeting scheduled on March 6, 2012.

There being no further business, Mr. Holloway made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Garrett seconded the motion. The motion was approved unanimously.

Mrs. Amy Korenyi-Both noted that she would not be available for the March meeting.



Charles Graham, Chair of the Board of Architectural Review